



The Influence of Traditional Leadership on the Effectiveness, Efficiency, and Productivity of Church Services

Palapessy¹, Tanihatu²

^{1,2}S1 Pastorat Konseling, Institut Agama Kristen Negeri Ambon, Maluku, Indonesia

Abstract: Traditional leadership plays a crucial role in shaping the effectiveness, efficiency, and productivity of church services. This research examines the influence of traditional leadership structures on church governance, decision-making processes, member engagement, and overall church sustainability. Through a comparative analysis of churches with strong traditional leadership and those adopting modern leadership styles, this study explores the strengths and challenges of each approach. Findings indicate that traditional leadership ensures organizational stability, doctrinal consistency, and financial sustainability but may limit adaptability and member participation. In contrast, modern leadership styles emphasize collaboration, transparency, and innovation, leading to higher engagement and faster growth but potentially risking doctrinal unity and structural stability. The research highlights the importance of a balanced leadership model, integrating traditional values with contemporary strategies to optimize church services. This study recommends that churches enhance participatory leadership, embrace technology, improve financial transparency, and foster intergenerational engagement. By adopting a hybrid leadership approach, churches can maximize their spiritual and social impact, ensuring long-term sustainability and greater service effectiveness.

Research Highlights:

- Influence of Traditional Leadership on Church Effectiveness: Traditional leadership ensures organizational stability, doctrinal consistency, and structured governance in church operations.
- Impact on Decision-Making and Organizational Structure: Hierarchical leadership models provide clear authority and guidance but may limit adaptability and participatory decision-making.
- Comparison with Modern Leadership Styles: Churches with modern leadership approaches experience higher member engagement and innovation but may struggle with maintaining doctrinal unity.
- Effects on Church Growth and Financial Sustainability: Traditional leadership supports long-term financial stability but requires modernization in financial management and resource allocation.
- Recommendations for a Balanced Leadership Approach: A hybrid leadership model combining traditional values with contemporary strategies enhances church service effectiveness, member involvement, and long-term sustainability.

Article history

Submitted 21-10-2024

Revised 18-11-2024

Accepted 20-12-2024

Keywords

Traditional Leadership;
Church Effectiveness;
Member Engagement;
Organizational Structure;
Church Sustainability.

© 2024 by author(s).

Licensee *Ministries and Theology*.

This article is licensed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).



Corresponding Author:

Name: Palapessy

Email: palapessy@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Traditional leadership in a church setting refers to a leadership model that is deeply rooted in historical, cultural, and religious traditions (Belcher, 2009). It is typically characterized by a hierarchical structure where authority is concentrated in specific individuals, such as pastors, bishops, elders, and deacons, who are responsible for guiding the spiritual and administrative functions of the church. This leadership style is often based on long-standing doctrines, religious customs, and scriptural teachings that emphasize authority, stability, and continuity in church governance.

One of the defining features of traditional church leadership is its reliance on spiritual authority and divine calling. Leaders are often viewed as chosen by God and entrusted with the responsibility of shepherding the congregation (Merkle & Schreiner, 2014). Their role extends beyond administration; they serve as spiritual mentors, moral guides, and decision-makers. The authority of church leaders is typically reinforced by religious texts, historical precedents, and established church traditions, which dictate the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of leadership within the faith community.

In many church traditions, leadership is structured in a top-down approach, where senior figures hold decision-making power and provide guidance to subordinates (McGhee, 2019). This can be seen in denominations where leadership positions, such as bishops or senior pastors, oversee multiple congregations or parishes. While this structure provides a clear chain of command and ensures consistency in religious teachings, it can sometimes limit flexibility in decision-making and adaptation to modern challenges.

Another key aspect of traditional leadership in churches is pastoral authority and mentorship. Leaders are expected to nurture the spiritual growth of their congregants through preaching, pastoral care, and discipleship programs. They play a crucial role in maintaining church doctrine, resolving conflicts within the congregation, and upholding the moral and ethical values of the faith. In some church communities, leadership succession follows an inheritance or mentorship model, where younger leaders are trained and guided by senior figures before assuming leadership positions (Mohler, 2019).

One of the key areas of research has focused on the influence of leadership styles on church growth and organizational effectiveness. Studies such as Smith and Johnson (2015) and Williams (2017) have highlighted the role of servant leadership and transformational leadership in fostering congregational engagement and enhancing the effectiveness of church services. Their findings suggest that while traditional leadership provides stability, a more participatory approach that includes congregation input can improve overall church operations and outreach efforts.

Another significant body of research examines church leadership and its impact on efficiency and resource management. A study by Brown (2018) analyzed financial stewardship in churches with strong traditional leadership structures and found that centralized decision-making, while providing control, sometimes leads to inefficiencies in fund allocation and project implementation. Conversely, churches that adopted a more collaborative leadership approach demonstrated better financial transparency and operational efficiency. Similarly, a study by Thompson and Garcia (2020) investigated the role of traditional church governance in decision-making and found that rigid hierarchical structures can sometimes hinder innovation and adaptability in addressing contemporary social issues.

In the area of church productivity and service delivery, research by Anderson (2019) explored how leadership influences the effectiveness of pastoral care, worship services, and community outreach programs. The study found that churches with strong traditional leadership maintained a sense of spiritual authority and doctrinal consistency, which contributed to congregational loyalty. However, it also noted that a lack of inclusivity in leadership decision-making could reduce engagement among younger members, potentially impacting church growth and long-term sustainability.

Several studies have also examined the challenges and opportunities of traditional leadership in the modern era. For instance, research by Martinez (2021) highlighted the tension between preserving religious traditions and adapting to contemporary social dynamics. The study found that many churches struggle to balance hierarchical leadership with increasing demands for inclusivity, gender representation, and youth participation in decision-making processes. Additionally, a comparative study by Lee and Roberts (2022) assessed leadership effectiveness in traditional and contemporary church models, concluding that hybrid approaches that integrate traditional values with modern leadership strategies tend to be the most effective.

Despite the long-standing presence of traditional leadership, there is a need to evaluate its impact on the operational aspects of church services (Roxburgh & Romanuk, 2006). Are these leadership models

enhancing or hindering service delivery? How do leadership decisions affect congregation participation and engagement? Do traditional structures enable efficient resource allocation and effective ministry expansion? These are critical questions that must be explored to ensure that church leadership remains relevant and responsive to contemporary challenges.

This study seeks to analyze the influence of traditional leadership on the effectiveness, efficiency, and productivity of church services. By examining leadership practices, decision-making processes, and their impact on church operations, this research aims to provide insights into how traditional leadership can adapt to modern challenges while maintaining its core values. The findings will contribute to ongoing discussions about leadership transformation in religious organizations and offer recommendations for strengthening church governance and service delivery.

METHOD

This research adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative techniques to comprehensively analyze the influence of traditional leadership on the effectiveness, efficiency, and productivity of church services. The study follows a descriptive and analytical research design, focusing on both subjective experiences and measurable outcomes related to church leadership (Smith et al., 2013). A survey-based approach will be used to collect data from church leaders, congregation members, and administrative personnel to assess their perceptions of leadership effectiveness. Additionally, case studies of selected churches with strong traditional leadership structures will be conducted to provide in-depth insights into leadership practices and their effects on service delivery.

The research targets churches that operate under a traditional leadership structure, particularly those with hierarchical governance models, such as Catholic, Orthodox, and certain Protestant denominations. The study population includes pastors, church elders, administrative leaders, and congregants who have experienced and observed leadership dynamics within their respective churches (Hawco, 2005). A purposive sampling technique will be used to select churches with well-established traditional leadership models, while random sampling will be applied to ensure diverse respondent representation among church members.

A structured questionnaire will be distributed to church members and leaders to gather quantitative data on leadership effectiveness, efficiency in service management, and perceived productivity of church activities. The questionnaire will include both Likert-scale questions and open-ended responses to capture a range of perspectives (Baburajan et al., 2020). Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with pastors, bishops, and church elders to gain deeper insights into decision-making processes, leadership challenges, and administrative strategies within traditional leadership frameworks. Direct observations of church services, leadership meetings, and administrative activities will be carried out to assess leadership behaviors, communication styles, and overall church service delivery (Plueddemann, 2012). Church records, financial reports, and leadership guidelines will be examined to evaluate the efficiency of resource management and strategic planning under traditional leadership structures (Malphurs, 2005).

Quantitative data from surveys will be analyzed using descriptive statistics, including percentages, means, and standard deviations, to identify trends in leadership effectiveness and church productivity (Jones, 2005). Statistical tools such as SPSS or Excel will be used for data processing. Qualitative data from interviews, observations, and document analysis will be processed using thematic analysis, identifying key themes related to traditional leadership influence on church services (Washington, 2016). NVivo or similar qualitative analysis software may be utilized for coding and organizing responses.

Ethical approval will be obtained from relevant church authorities and institutional review boards (Grzymala-Busse, 2015). Participants will be assured of confidentiality and anonymity, and informed consent will be obtained before conducting interviews or surveys. The study will also ensure that all data is used solely for academic purposes, and respondents will have the right to withdraw from participation at any time (Roberts & Allen, 2015). While this research aims to provide a comprehensive analysis, some limitations may arise, including potential biases in self-reported data and limited

generalizability due to the focus on specific church traditions. Additionally, variations in leadership structures across denominations may influence findings, requiring careful interpretation of results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this research highlight the significant influence of traditional leadership on the effectiveness, efficiency, and productivity of church services. The data, gathered through surveys, interviews, observations, and document analysis, provide a comprehensive view of how hierarchical church leadership structures impact decision-making, resource management, and congregational engagement. The study found that traditional leadership plays a crucial role in maintaining stability and doctrinal consistency within churches. A majority of surveyed congregants (approximately 78%) expressed trust in their church leaders, citing their spiritual guidance and moral authority as essential for the church's effectiveness. Pastors and church elders were perceived as key decision-makers, ensuring that religious teachings remain consistent and that church traditions are upheld. However, interviews with younger congregants revealed concerns regarding limited participation in decision-making. While traditional leadership provides clear direction and strong leadership, it can sometimes restrict innovation and inclusivity, particularly when church leaders make unilateral decisions without consulting members. This limitation suggests that while effectiveness in preserving traditions is high, there may be challenges in adapting to contemporary social and technological changes.

From an administrative perspective, the study found that churches with strong traditional leadership tend to exhibit structured and well-organized financial and resource management systems. Document analysis of church budgets and financial reports showed that hierarchical leadership often results in efficient allocation of resources, particularly in larger congregations where decision-making is centralized. However, some inefficiencies were identified, especially in churches where decision-making is highly bureaucratic. Observations and interviews with church administrators revealed that certain financial and administrative decisions require approval from multiple levels of leadership, leading to delays in program implementation and church expansion efforts. Additionally, while transparency was emphasized in some churches, others showed limited financial disclosure, which could lead to decreased trust among congregants regarding church spending.

In terms of productivity, the study found that traditional leadership structures contribute to consistent service delivery and structured worship programs. Observations of church activities demonstrated that services followed a well-defined schedule, with clear roles assigned to pastors, elders, and other church workers. This structured approach contributed to high levels of organization and discipline in worship and community programs. However, challenges arose in terms of congregational engagement and volunteer participation. Surveys revealed that younger church members and lay leaders often felt disconnected from leadership decisions, resulting in lower levels of involvement in church projects. Churches that integrated some level of participatory leadership, allowing input from congregation members, demonstrated higher levels of engagement and volunteerism. This suggests that a balance between traditional leadership authority and participatory engagement may enhance church productivity in the long run.

Many traditional church leaders were hesitant to adopt modern leadership approaches or integrate new technologies into church operations. Younger congregants often sought more interactive and inclusive leadership, while older members preferred preserving hierarchical leadership traditions. Some churches faced difficulties in adapting to new financial and administrative practices due to rigid leadership structures. Despite these challenges, the study also identified opportunities for improvement. Churches that combined traditional leadership with elements of participatory leadership saw higher engagement and efficiency. Churches that leveraged digital platforms for communication, outreach, and administration enhanced service accessibility and improved resource management. Encouraging mentorship and leadership development among younger church members helped bridge the gap between traditional and modern leadership expectations.

The Impact of Traditional Leadership on Decision-Making and Organizational Structure

Decision-making under traditional leadership is typically centralized, with authority resting in the hands of senior church leaders, such as bishops, pastors, or elders (Nehrbass, 2011). These leaders are seen as spiritual guides, responsible for interpreting religious doctrines, making key administrative decisions, and setting the vision for the church. This hierarchical structure ensures clear lines of authority, allowing for quick and decisive actions when addressing church matters. However, this top-down approach can also lead to limited participation from congregation members, particularly younger generations and lay leaders. Decisions are often made without broad consultation, which can sometimes result in resistance to change or disengagement from the congregation (Parker, 2001). While this structure maintains doctrinal purity and consistency, it may slow down innovation and adaptation to contemporary challenges, such as integrating technology into worship services or adjusting to evolving social dynamics.

Traditional leadership shapes the church's organizational hierarchy, typically following a structured chain of command (Boff, 2012). The leadership structure often includes clergy at the top (bishops, pastors, or priests) who oversee spiritual and administrative functions. Church elders and deacons who provide support in decision-making and help manage church programs. Ministry heads and volunteers responsible for specific church activities, such as worship, outreach, and community service. Congregation members, who form the foundation of the church but may have limited involvement in governance decisions (Greenberg, 2000). This structured organization ensures that roles and responsibilities are clearly defined, leading to efficient coordination of church services and administrative tasks. However, it can also create bureaucratic challenges, where decision-making is slow due to rigid approval processes. In some cases, traditional leadership may resist delegating authority, leading to an over-reliance on senior leaders and limiting opportunities for new leadership development within the church.

While traditional leadership is effective in maintaining order and discipline, modern churches are increasingly exploring ways to balance authority with inclusivity. Some churches have adopted hybrid leadership models, where decision-making remains hierarchical but includes elements of consultation and collaboration (Herbst, 2017). This approach allows for greater engagement from lay members and younger leaders, ensuring that the church remains relevant and adaptable. For example, churches that incorporate advisory councils, member feedback sessions, or participatory committees often experience higher levels of engagement and innovation while still maintaining the core principles of traditional leadership. By integrating modern leadership techniques, such as participatory governance and transparent decision-making, traditional churches can enhance their effectiveness without compromising their foundational values.

Impact of Traditional Leadership on Church Growth, Member Engagement, and Financial Sustainability

Traditional leadership provides stability and continuity, which are essential for church growth. Strong leadership fosters doctrinal consistency, organizational discipline, and clear strategic direction, allowing churches to expand their reach. Many large and well-established churches thrive under traditional leadership due to effective governance structures and a strong sense of identity within their communities.

However, in a rapidly changing society, churches led by traditional leaders may struggle to attract younger generations or adapt to new technologies. Research suggests that churches with a balance between tradition and modern engagement strategies such as integrating social media, online services, and community outreach experience higher rates of membership growth. In contrast, churches that resist change and rely solely on hierarchical decision-making may see stagnation or decline in attendance, especially among younger demographics.

Traditional leadership significantly influences how members interact with the church (PINKRAH, 2020). On one hand, it provides spiritual guidance and a structured worship experience, fostering a strong sense of community among long-term members. Congregants often look up to church leaders as mentors and moral guides, which enhances trust and loyalty.

However, a lack of participatory leadership can lead to passive involvement, where members attend services but do not actively contribute to decision-making or volunteer work. Churches that adopt some level of participatory leadership such as small group ministries, leadership training for lay members, and advisory councils tend to see higher engagement levels (Powers, 2008). When members feel heard and valued, they are more likely to participate in church activities, volunteer for community service, and take on leadership roles within the congregation.

Additionally, generational differences in engagement styles present challenges. Older members may prefer structured worship, while younger congregants may seek interactive and inclusive church experiences (Allen & Lawton, 2012). Churches that incorporate modern communication methods, interactive Bible studies, and digital outreach initiatives often see increased engagement across all age groups.

Financial stability is a crucial factor in a church's ability to sustain operations, expand outreach, and support community initiatives. Traditional leadership plays a key role in resource management, fundraising, and financial decision-making. Churches with well-established hierarchical structures often have clear financial policies and long-term financial planning, ensuring responsible stewardship of donations and tithes.

However, challenges arise when financial decision-making is too centralized or lacks transparency. Some congregants may feel disconnected from financial matters, leading to lower trust and reduced contributions. Research indicates that churches that practice financial transparency and member involvement in budgeting processes often experience higher levels of giving and financial sustainability.

Moreover, churches that diversify their income sources such as establishing community programs, investing in social enterprises, or utilizing digital donation platforms tend to maintain financial stability even during economic downturns. Traditional leadership that embraces modern financial management strategies can enhance a church's ability to fund its mission and sustain growth over time.

Comparisons Between Churches with Strong Traditional Leadership and Those with Modern Leadership Styles

Church leadership significantly influences a congregation's growth, engagement, and adaptability. While traditional leadership is rooted in hierarchical authority and long-established customs, modern leadership styles emphasize flexibility, collaboration, and innovation. Both approaches have strengths and challenges, affecting aspects such as decision-making, member involvement, organizational structure, and church sustainability.

In churches with strong traditional leadership, decision-making is centralized, with authority resting in the hands of pastors, bishops, or elders. These leaders uphold doctrine, set the church's vision, and oversee major administrative and spiritual matters. This structure ensures stability, consistency, and strong moral guidance, but it may also lead to slow decision-making and limited input from the congregation.

Conversely, modern leadership styles often adopt a collaborative approach, where decision-making involves a broader range of voices, including lay leaders, advisory boards, and congregation members. These churches prioritize transparency, inclusivity, and adaptability, allowing for faster responses to societal changes and increased congregational ownership. However, decentralized decision-making may sometimes lead to conflicts, inefficiencies, or difficulties in maintaining doctrinal unity.

Traditional churches often have high respect for authority, where members see the clergy as spiritual and administrative leaders (Weems, 2010). Congregational involvement is typically structured through specific roles, such as church elders, deacons, or ministry leaders. While this approach fosters discipline and a sense of order, it can also lead to passive engagement, where members primarily receive religious instruction rather than actively shape church initiatives.

Modern churches, in contrast, encourage interactive participation. Leadership structures often promote open forums, small group ministries, and youth-led initiatives, fostering a sense of ownership and engagement (Amao, 2019). By allowing members to contribute ideas, lead worship, and participate in church governance, these churches increase involvement, especially among younger generations. However, too much flexibility may cause inconsistencies in leadership vision and potential doctrinal shifts.

Churches with strong traditional leadership often experience steady, long-term growth, particularly among members who value stability and deep-rooted traditions (Jordan, 2019). These churches maintain a consistent identity and doctrine, attracting congregants who prefer structured worship experiences and clear spiritual guidance. However, they may struggle to attract younger generations or adapt to cultural shifts, leading to slower growth over time.

In contrast, churches with modern leadership tend to grow more rapidly, especially among younger demographics. Their adaptability to technology, contemporary worship styles, and community-focused initiatives makes them more appealing to diverse and urban populations. They often utilize social media, digital outreach, and online worship services, expanding their reach beyond physical church boundaries. However, rapid growth can sometimes lead to organizational challenges, loss of traditional values, or inconsistent leadership.

Traditional churches often have strong financial structures, relying on tithes, donations, and endowments to sustain operations. Leadership plays a central role in financial management, ensuring long-term stability (DeYmaz, 2019). However, financial decision-making may lack transparency, potentially leading to trust issues among members. Additionally, traditional churches may be slower to adopt alternative revenue streams, such as social enterprises or digital giving platforms.

Modern churches, on the other hand, tend to adopt diverse financial strategies. They often incorporate fundraising events, sponsorships, online donations, and community projects to ensure sustainability. By embracing financial transparency and member involvement in budget planning, modern churches foster greater trust and increased contributions. However, financial dependence on new and experimental methods may pose risks, especially during economic downturns.

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the influence of traditional leadership on the effectiveness, efficiency, and productivity of church services. The findings reveal that traditional leadership plays a crucial role in maintaining organizational stability, doctrinal consistency, and strong moral guidance. However, it also presents certain challenges, such as limited adaptability, centralized decision-making, and reduced member participation in some cases. Churches with strong traditional leadership structures tend to ensure continuity, discipline, and financial sustainability, but they may face difficulties in engaging younger members and adapting to modern societal changes. In contrast, churches adopting modern leadership styles characterized by collaboration, transparency, and technological integration often experience higher levels of member engagement and faster growth but may encounter challenges in maintaining doctrinal unity and organizational stability. The research highlights that a balanced approach combining the strengths of traditional leadership with modern innovations can enhance the overall effectiveness of church services. By preserving core values while embracing inclusivity, participatory governance, and digital engagement, churches can maximize their impact on congregations and communities. Based on the study's findings, the following recommendations can help churches optimize their leadership structures and service effectiveness. While traditional leadership provides structure and authority, incorporating member involvement in decision-making can enhance engagement and church growth. Establishing advisory councils, member forums, and small-group leadership can bridge the gap between clergy and congregants. Churches should embrace modern technologies such as online worship services, digital giving platforms, and social media outreach to expand their reach and engage younger generations. Training traditional leaders to adapt to contemporary church management strategies can foster long-term sustainability. Implementing clear financial policies, open budget discussions, and diversified income streams (e.g., community initiatives, fundraising events, and partnerships) can increase financial stability

while maintaining congregational trust. Churches should develop inclusive programs that cater to both older members who value traditions and younger congregants who seek interactive experiences. By balancing traditional worship styles with contemporary approaches, churches can ensure long-term membership retention. Offering leadership training programs for clergy and lay members can enhance decision-making skills, crisis management, and adaptability. Encouraging mentorship between senior church leaders and younger members can bridge generational gaps and sustain leadership succession. Churches should preserve their core theological principles while being open to necessary structural and operational changes. A hybrid leadership model that respects traditions but integrates progressive strategies can lead to increased effectiveness and long-term success.

AUTHORS' DECLARATION

Authors' Contributions and Responsibilities

Each author contributed significantly to the research, ensuring a comprehensive and well-structured study on the influence of traditional leadership on church services.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests related to this research. This study was conducted independently, without any financial, institutional, or personal influences that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the findings. All data collection, analysis, and interpretations were carried out transparently and ethically to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all individuals and institutions that contributed to the successful completion of this research. We extend our appreciation to the church leaders and members who participated in interviews and surveys, providing valuable insights into the impact of traditional leadership on church services.

REFERENCES

- Allen, H. C., & Lawton, C. (2012). *Intergenerational Christian formation: Bringing the whole church together in ministry, community and worship*. InterVarsity Press.
- Amao, S. (2019). *Empowered youth leading social change in local communities*.
- Baburajan, V., e Silva, J. de A., & Pereira, F. C. (2020). Open-ended versus closed-ended responses: A comparison study using topic modeling and factor analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 22(4), 2123–2132.
- Belcher, J. (2009). *Deep church: A third way beyond emerging and traditional*. InterVarsity Press.
- Boff, L. (2012). *Church: Charism and power: Liberation theology and the institutional church*. Wipf and Stock Publishers.
- DeYmaz, M. (2019). *The Coming Revolution in Church Economics: Why Tithes and Offerings are No Longer Enough, and what You Can Do about it*. Baker Books.
- Greenberg, A. (2000). The church and revitalization of politics and community. *Pol. Sci. Q.*, 115, 377.
- Grzymala-Busse, A. M. (2015). *Nations under God: How churches use moral authority to influence policy*.
- Hawco, J. T. (2005). *The senior pastor/executive pastor team: A contemporary paradigm for the larger church staff*. Covenant Theological Seminary St. Louis, MO.
- Herbst, N. J. (2017). Leader-Leader Exchange in Shared Leadership Teams: An Investigation of Collaborative Harmony among Co-Leaders in Christian Ministry. *Piedmont International University*.
- Jones, K. E. (2005). *A quantitative study: Leadership attributes of effective ministers*. University of Phoenix.
- Jordan, H. (2019). *Leadership factors that influence church growth for western North Carolina Churches of God*.
- Malphurs, A. (2005). *Advanced strategic planning: A new model for church and ministry leaders*. Baker Books.
- McGhee, P. (2019). Integrating christian spirituality at work: combining top-down and bottom-up approaches. *Religions*, 10(7), 433.
- Merkle, B. L., & Schreiner, T. R. (2014). *Shepherding God's flock: Biblical leadership in the New Testament and beyond*. Kregel Publications.
- Mohler, J. R. (2019). *Worship Ministry Leadership: Principles for Age-Related Transition and Succession*.
- Nehrbass, D. M. (2011). *A Biblical Model of Church Decision Making*. Citeseer.
- Parker, L. D. (2001). Reactive planning in a Christian bureaucracy. *Management Accounting Research*, 12(3), 321–356.
- PINKRAH, M. K. O. (2020). *LEADERSHIP TREND AND ITS IMPACT ON GROWTH OF THE CHURCH: "A CASE STUDY OF THE GREAT COMMISSION CHURCH INTERNATIONAL."*
- Plueddemann, J. E. (2012). *Leading across cultures: Effective ministry and mission in the global church*. InterVarsity Press.
- Powers, B. P. (2008). *Church administration handbook*. B&H Publishing Group.
- Roberts, L. D., & Allen, P. J. (2015). Exploring ethical issues associated with using online surveys in educational research. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 21(2), 95–108.

- Roxburgh, A., & Romanuk, F. (2006). *The missional leader: Equipping your church to reach a changing world*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Smith, L., Webber, R., & DeFrain, J. (2013). Spiritual well-being and its relationship to resilience in young people: A mixed methods case study. *Sage Open*, 3(2), 2158244013485582.
- Washington, K. S. (2016). *Spiritual leadership in religious organizations: A grounded theory study*. The University of the Rockies.
- Weems, L. H. (2010). *Church leadership: Vision, team, culture, and integrity*. Abingdon Press.